| Part | Agency Name: | Agency Name: RHODE ISLAND HOUSING Performance Evaluation Standards & Scoring Criteria for CoC Renewal Projects FY2022 | | | | | | RI Housing | | Sub Recipient - Project 1 | | Sub Recipient - Project 2 | | Sub Recipient - Project 3 | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Companies Comp | Renewal Performance | | Source | Proposed Benchmark/ Standard | | | - | | | | (PSH-IND) #26 | | · · | | |
| The context | FY2022 COC Competition | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Second control of the control of t | PERFORMANCE | | | PSH | RRH | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part | 1. Occupancy/Utilization | | | 90% or 1 | nigher = 10 | 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| Description of the control of the | program year were referred | New entries during last program year | HMIS report | 100% = 10 | | 10 | 100.00% | 10 | 100.00% | 10 | 100.00% | 10 | 100.00% | 10 | |
| Control Cont | participant leavers who exited to shelter, streets or unknown (including don't know/refused and no exit interview) during last | Participants - Leavers | CoC APR Q23b and Q 23a | Less than or equal to 5%* | | 5 | 0.00% | 5 | 0.00% | 5 | 0.00% | 5 | 0.00% | 5 | |
| Participation of Section Sec | COC grant | Renewal CoC Projects | HUD report | 97-100% = 10 | | 10 | 99.98% | 10 | Full points | 10 | Full points | 10 | Full points | 10 | |
| Internal support Company Compa | participants who remain in PH and/or exited to PH | All Participants | APR 5a.8 and 23a | 90%* - 100% =5 | 85%* - 100% =5 | 5 | 99.00% | 5 | 100.00% | 5 | 100.00% | 5 | 98.00% | 5 | |
| The First Principles of the | referral to program enrollment in PSH; length of time from CE referral to | | HMIS report | = half points. Single site: 15 days or less = max points; 30 | | Baseline collected and | | - | No referrals | - | 0 days (transfer) | - | | · | |
| B. Hills All protegorate Dec 2017 Dec | after PH Placement - 12 | Participants - Leavers | SPM report | Below 5% = 5* | | 5 | 0.00% | 5 | 0.00% | 5 | 0.00% | 5 | 0.00% | 5 | |
| A. Manager Mercing Manager Manager Manager Manager Mercing M | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manage Meeting Column Co | | All Participants | | | | 5 | 99.10% | 5 | 97.60% | 5 | 100.00% | 5 | 100.00% | 5 | |
| Conclusion Control printing angle required Control printing angle required Control printing angle required Control printing and reducting and redu | | Agency HMIS Managers | | | | 10 | 100.00% | 10 | 9.09% | 0 | 100.00% | 10 | 100.00% | 10 | |
| 11. Cort/Premience Housing reference and pressure of time form presidence and pressure of time form referred to many project with binding regions. 1. See 1. Se | | HMIS Participating Agencies | HMIS Lead Report | | | 5 | YES | 5 | NO | 0 | NO | 0 | NO | 0 | |
| Performent (Long Part Patternent (Long Part Part Patternent (Long | COST EFFECTIVENESS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Selection SPM escalare 7.2 The 2.C.3 - Early of the remarked in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and reducing in His fire move-in terms and mixing page and page and mixing | retention and/or PH Placement (total project budget/Number of all participants who remain in | permanent housing destinations and remaining | APR | \$15,000 or less = 10 | \$10,000 or less = 10 | 10 | \$10,941.87 | 10 | \$10,066.78 | 10 | \$7,712.70 | 10 | \$13,137.09 | 10 | |
| The Case of the Piter remarked in Hist firm move in the contraction of the Piter remarked in Hist firm move in the contraction of the Piter remarked in Hist firm move in the contraction of the Piter remarked in Hist firm move in the Piter remarked in History program year of history for the Piter In move in the Piter remarked in History present of color of the Piter Reported in Move in the Piter Reported in Move in the Piter Report of the Piter Reported in Move in the Piter Report of Color of the Piter Repo | Total Disbursed (LOCCS) | | | | | | \$1,181,721.49 | | \$402,671.15 | | \$200,530.15 | | \$551,757.96 | | |
| 12. Identifying and reducting in dender referrals dated elicity disparities in denied referrals dated elicity disparities in denied referrals dated elicity disparities in denied referrals and elicity disparities in denied referrals compared with homeless system deniegrality and elicity disparities in denied referrals and elicity disparities in denied referrals compared with homeless system deniegral reports and elicity disparities in denied referrals compared with homeless system deniegral reports and elicity disparities in denied referrals compared with homeless system denied referrals compared with non-elicity and elicity dispar | 7b.2.C3 - Exit to PH or | | | | | | 108 | | 40 | | 26 | | 42 | | |
| racial and ethicity disparities judge and reducing specific reports in denied referrals by part year. 13. Identifying and reducing specific parties register to move in date 13. Agency conducted and equity focused assessment internally within agency inclusive of beard and all internally within agency inclusive of beard and all procedures, compensation equity review, and stateholder input EXINDIANG BISSURDES. 13. It is been reimbrised by Missurdes and ethicity of the participants in the program participants of the program participan | ENHANCING EQUITY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| racial and ethicity disparities in length of time from mereliaral to move in length of time from mereliaral to move in later 1.4 Agenty conducted an equity facunded assessment incorporates policy and procedures, compensation equity previous, and office to program participants in the program participants in the program participants in the program participants in the project of th | racial and ethicity disparities | project with last program | HMIS report | | | Baseline collected and | 0% of referrals denied | - | No referrals | - | 0% of referrals denied | - | 0% of referrals denied | - | |
| equity focused assessment internally within agency inclusive of board and all incorporates policy and procedures, compensation equity review, and state-bolder input EXINOME REPORTS. 15. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 15. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 15. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 16. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 17. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His been reimbursed by receive and state-bolder input EXINOME SHOUNCES 18. His board of the state-bolder input | racial and ethicity disparities in length of time from | | HMIS report | | | Baseline collected and | in for white non-hispanic, 162 for | - | No referrals | - | | - | in for white non-hispanic, 162 for | - | |
| 15. Has been reimbursed by Medicaid for the provision of Medicaid eligible program participants Medicaid not the provision of Peer Recovery Services to program participants Medicaid eligible program participants | equity focused assessment internally within agency inclusive of board and all levels of staff that incorporates policy and procedures, compensation equity review, and stakeholder input | frontline staff, executive | Equity Committee Report | YES= 10, NO=0 | | 10 | NO | 0 | NO | 0 | YES | 9 | YES | 10 | |
| Medicaid for the provision of Medicaid eligible program from \$5.0bilization and/or participants EOHHS Report Ves = 15 or No = 0 15 YES 15 YES 15 NO 0 Program participants Ves = 15 or No = 0 15 YES 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Medicaid for the provision of Home Stabilization and/or Peer Recovery Services to | | EOHHS Report | Yes = 15 or No = 0 | | 15 | YES | 15 | YES | 15 | YES | 15 | NO | 0 | |
| | Grand Total | | | | | 100 | 90.00% | 90 | 75.00% | 75 | 94.00% | 94 | 80.00% | 80 | |

[&]quot;If the program does not meet the threshold for this measure due to the autoom of a single client, it may submit an appeal describing the reasons for this client's outcomes and how it ment to address their needs for consideration of partial or full points.

"The equity committee may award partial points on a sliding scale to agencies that demonstrated progress towards a complete assessment as defined