

Agency Name:		Pawtucket Housing Authority				Project 1	
Performance Evaluation Standards & Scoring Criteria for CoC Renewal Projects FY2022							
Renewal Performance FY2022 CoC Competition	Universe	Source	Proposed Benchmark/ Standard		2022 Max Points	1897 Rapid Rehousing CoC (FAM IND) #90	
						RI0090	
						Date Range	1/1/2021-12/31/2021
PERFORMANCE			PSH	RRH		RRH	Points
1. Occupancy/Utilization	Average annual utilization rate	HMIS lead dashboard report	90% or higher = 10		10	96.00%	10
2. 100% of admissions in program year were referred from coordinated entry	New entries during last program year	HMIS report	100% = 10		10	100.00%	10
3. Percentage of all participant leavers who exited to shelter, streets or unknown (including don't know/refused and no exit interview) during last program year	Participants - Leavers	CoC APR Q23b and Q 23a	Less than or equal to 5%*		5	8.33%	0
4. Spending of last year's CoC grant	Renewal CoC Projects	HUD report	97-100% = 10		10	72.20%	0
5. Percentage of all participants who remain in PH and/or exited to PH destination	All Participants	APR 5a.8 and 23a	90%* - 100% =5	85%* - 100% =5	5	100.00%	5
6. Length of time from CE referral to program enrollment in PSH; length of time from CE referral to move-in date for RRH	New entries during last program year	HMIS report	Scatter site: RA 30 days or less = max points; 31 - 60 days = half points. Single site: 15 days or less = max points; 30 days or less = half points		Not scored for 2022 - Baseline collected and reported	42.5 days from enrollment to move-in, 107 days from referral to move-in	-
7. Returns to Homelessness after PH Placement - 12 months	Participants - Leavers	SPM report	Below 5% = 5*		5	4.00%	5
HMIS							
8. HMIS Data Quality	All Participants	CoC APR 6b	Data quality score of at least 95%		5	100.00%	5
9. Attendance at Agency Manager Meetings	Agency HMIS Managers	Training Attendance Log (HMIS Lead)	Participation in 80%+ of meetings held since 7/1/2021 - present = 10		10	100.00%	10
10. Security Compliance Checklist	HMIS Participating Agencies	HMIS Lead Report	Security Checklist submitted to HMIS on or before March 11, 2022 deadline		5	NO	0
COST EFFECTIVENESS							
11. Cost/Permanent Housing retention and/or PH Placement (total project budget/Number of all participants who remain in PH and exited to PH)	Participants exiting to permanent housing destinations and remaining in permanent housing	APR	\$15,000 or less = 10	\$10,000 or less = 10	10	\$53,577.12	0
Total Disbursed (LOCCS)						\$107,154.23	
Retention SPM measure 7.2 - 7b.2.C3 - Exit to PH or remained in PH after move-in						2	
ENHANCING EQUITY							
12. Identifying and reducing racial and ethnicity disparities in denied referrals	All referrals denied from project with last program year	HMIS report	Race and ethnicity data for denied referrals compared with homeless system demographic reports		Not scored for 2022 - Baseline collected and reported	67% referrals denied for persons of color	-
13. Identifying and reducing racial and ethnicity disparities in length of time from referral to move in date	All referrals into project within last program year	HMIS report	Race and ethnicity data for length of time from referral compared with homeless system demographic reports		Not scored for 2022 - Baseline collected and reported	107 days from referral to move-in for persons of color	-
14. Agency conducted an equity focused assessment internally within agency inclusive of board and all levels of staff that incorporates policy and procedures, compensation equity review, and stakeholder input	All participants, agency frontline staff, executive staff, board of directors	Equity Committee Report	YES= 10, NO=0		10	NO	0
EXTENDING RESOURCES							
15. Has been reimbursed by Medicaid for the provision of Home Stabilization and/or Peer Recovery Services to program participants	Medicaid eligible program participants	EOHHS Report	Yes = 15 or No = 0		15	NO	0
Grand Total					100	45.00%	45

*If the program does not meet the threshold for this measure due to the outcome of a single client, it may submit an appeal describing the reasons for this client's outcomes and how it tried to address their needs for consideration of partial or full points.

**the equity committee may award partial points on a sliding scale to agencies that demonstrated progress towards a complete assessment as defined